

Weak error for the uniform propagation of chaos on the torus

François Delarue (Nice – J.-A. Dieudonné)

(Joint work with Alvin Tse – ENPC (Paris) & Hong-Kong)

June 27, 2022

Part I. Introduction

Weakly interacting particle system

- Throughout, we consider an N -particle system of the type

$$dX_t^i = b(X_t^i, \bar{\mu}_t^N)dt + dW_t^i$$

for i an index in $\{1, \dots, N\}$ where $\bar{\mu}_t^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{X_t^j}$

- $(W_t^1)_{t \geq 0}, \dots, (W_t^N)_{t \geq 0}$ are **independent** Brownian motions
 - **i.i.d.** initial conditions X_0^1, \dots, X_0^N
 - model is said to be **mean-field**
- **Main question:** long time and large N behavior of the model
(absolutely not a new question)

$$dX_t = b(X_t, \mathcal{L}(X_t))dt + dW_t$$

- X_t^i may take values with in dimension d

$$b : \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$$

Large N , large t

- Long time behaviour of McKean-Vlasov equations
 - convergence toward ! invariant measure: e.g. Benachour et al. (98), Carrillo (03), Cattiaux et al. (08),... , for gradient flows with convex potentials
 - uniqueness may be lost: see e.g. Bertini et al. (09), Giacomini (12)... for periodic Kuramoto model

Large N , large t

- **Uniform propagation of chaos**: convergence as $N \nearrow \infty$ unif. in t
 - may fail even if unique attractive invariant measure, see e.g. Malrieu (03) in \mathbb{R}^d

- **hierarchy** $\sup_{t \geq 0} W_1(\mathcal{L}(X_1^1, \dots, X_t^k), \mathcal{L}(X_t)^{\otimes k})$

Durmus et al., (18), Salem (18), \mathbb{R}^d : confinement + **small or convex potential**
 $\leadsto N^{-1/2}$ for $k = 1$

Lacker and LeFlem (22) : similar conditions, but **k/N**

- **empirical measure** $\sup_{t \geq 0} \left| \mathbb{E}[\Phi(\mu_t^N)] - \Phi(m_t^\mu) \right|$

Chassagneux et al. (19): finite horizon $\leadsto N^{-1}$ (+ error expansion),

Mischler et al., (15), Arnaudon et al., (20): $\Phi(\mu) = \langle f, \mu \rangle \leadsto N^{-1}$

\leadsto **weak error with suitable choice of Φ**

Part II. Semi-group of the MKV SDE

Semi-group

- Introduce the semi-group of a standard McKean-Vlasov equation

$$dX_t = b(X_t, \mathcal{L}(X_t))dt + dW_t$$

- $(m_t = \mathcal{L}(X_t))_{t \geq 0}$ solves Fokker-Planck equation

$$\partial_t m_t - \frac{1}{2} \Delta m_t + \operatorname{div}(m_t b(\cdot, m_t)) = 0, \quad m_0 = \mathcal{L}(X_0)$$

- if $\exists ! \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X_t)$ only depends on $\mathcal{L}(X_0)$

- define the semi-group

$$(P_t \Phi)(\mathcal{L}(X_0)) = \Phi(\mathcal{L}(X_t)), \quad t \geq 0, \quad \Phi : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

- master equation

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (P_t \Phi)(\mu) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} b(v, \mu) \cdot \partial_\mu (P_t \Phi)(\mu, v) d\mu(v) \\ - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{Trace}[\partial_v \partial_\mu (P_t \Phi)(\mu, v)] d\mu(v) = 0, \quad (P_0 \Phi)(\mu) = \phi(\mu) \end{aligned}$$

Overview of differentiation on $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T}^d)$

- We say that $\mathcal{V} : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is C^1 if

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon}|_{\varepsilon=0+} \mathcal{V}((1-\varepsilon)\mu + \varepsilon\mu') = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{\delta \mathcal{V}}{\delta m}(\mu)(v) d(\mu' - \mu)(v)$$

for a continuous map $\frac{\delta \mathcal{V}}{\delta m} : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T}^d) \times \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

◦ unique up to an additive constant \leadsto impose **zero mean under m**

- **Wasserstein derivative** $\partial_\mu \mathcal{V}(\mu)(v) = \partial_v \frac{\delta \mathcal{V}}{\delta m}(\mu)(v)$
- **Finite-dimensional projection**

$$\partial_{x_i} \left[\mathcal{V} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{x_j} \right) \right] = \frac{1}{N} \partial_\mu \mathcal{V} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{x_j} \right) (x_i), \quad x_1, \dots, x_N \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

- \mathcal{V} is C^2 if $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T}^d) \ni \mu \mapsto \frac{\delta \mathcal{V}}{\delta m}(\mu)(v)$ is C^1 . Second order derivatives

read $(v, v') \mapsto \frac{\delta^2 \mathcal{V}}{\delta m^2}(\mu)(v, v')$.

Revisiting propagation of chaos

- Back to the particle system

$$dX_t^i = b(X_t^i, \mu_t^N)dt + dW_t^i, \quad \mu_t^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_j \delta_{X_t^j}$$

- How to test proximity with the limiting semi-group?

- notice that

$$(P_{t-s}\Phi)(\mathcal{L}(X_s^\mu)) = \Phi(\mathcal{L}(X_{t-s}^{\mathcal{L}(X_s^\mu)})) = \Phi(\mathcal{L}(X_t^\mu))$$

- expansion of $(P_{t-s}\Phi(\mu_s^N))_{0 \leq s \leq t}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\Phi(\mu_t^N)] - \Phi(\mathcal{L}(X_t^\mu)) &= \mathbb{E}[(P_t\Phi)(\mu_0^N)] - (P_t\Phi)(\mu) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2N^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \text{Trace} \int_0^t \mathbb{E}[\partial_\mu^2 P_{t-s}\Phi(\mu_s^N)(X_s^i, X_s^i)] ds \end{aligned}$$

- as for the initial condition

$$\left| \mathbb{E}[(P_t\Phi)(\bar{\mu}_0^N)] - (P_t\Phi)(\mu) \right| \leq \frac{C}{N} \sup_{m \sim \mu} \left\| \frac{\delta^2 P_t\Phi}{\delta m^2}(m)(\cdot, \cdot) \right\|_\infty$$

Choice of Φ

- In short, we want to get **integrable bounds on the various derivatives** and then get **uniform propagation of chaos at rate $1/N$** .
- Using smoothing effect of the diffusion, we just need **bounds** and **Hölder regularity** on

$$\Phi(m), \quad \frac{\delta\Phi}{\delta m}(m)(x), \quad \frac{\delta^2\Phi}{\delta m^2}(m)(x, x')$$

$$\circ \Phi(m) = \langle f, m \rangle \Rightarrow \frac{\delta\Phi}{\delta m}(m)(x) = f(x)$$

- **Example**

- for given $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ and $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T}^d)$, choose

$$\Phi(\mu) = \|\mu - \mu_0\|_{-(d+\varepsilon)/2}^2$$

where

$$\|m\|_{-(d+\varepsilon)/2}^2 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{1}{(1 + |n|^2)^{(d+\varepsilon)/2}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \exp(i2\pi n \cdot \theta) dm(\theta) \right|^2$$

Part III. Long time derivatives of the semi-group

Road map to the regularity of the semi-group

- Goal is to address $\frac{\delta P_t \Phi}{\delta m}(\mu)(x)$

- Make use of a flow/characteristics method

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\epsilon} P_t \Phi((1 - \epsilon)\mu + \epsilon\mu')|_{\epsilon=0+} &= \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \left[\Phi(m_t^{(1-\epsilon)\mu + \epsilon\mu'}) \right]_{\epsilon=0+} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{\delta \Phi}{\delta m}(m_t^\mu)(x) \frac{d}{d\epsilon} m_t^{(1-\epsilon)\mu + \epsilon\mu'}(dx) \end{aligned}$$

- Formally, $\frac{d}{d\epsilon} m_t^{(1-\epsilon)\mu + \epsilon\mu'}(dx)$ solves linearized equation

$$\partial_t m_t^{(1)} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta m_t^{(1)} + \operatorname{div}(m_t^{(1)} b(\cdot, m_t^\mu)) + \operatorname{div}(m_t \langle \frac{\delta b}{\delta m}(\cdot, m_t^\mu), m_t^{(1)} \rangle) = 0$$

$$\text{with } m_0^{(1)} = \mu' - \mu$$

- Replace $m_0^{(1)}$ by δ_z : $\frac{\delta P_t \Phi}{\delta m}(\mu)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{\delta \Phi}{\delta m}(m_t^\mu)(x) m_t^{(1)}(\delta_z)(dx)$

- similarly for the derivative w.r.t z , focus on $m_t^{(1)} \left(\frac{d}{dz_i} \delta_z \right)$

Second order derivatives

- Call

$$L_m(q) = \frac{1}{2}\Delta q + \operatorname{div}(qb(\cdot, m)) + \operatorname{div}\left(m\left\langle \frac{\delta b}{\delta m}(\cdot, m), q \right\rangle\right)$$

◦ first order derivatives of $P_t\Phi$ obey the long run behavior of zeros of $L_{m_t(\mu)}$

- But, most of all, we need second order derivatives

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{z_i}\partial_{z_j}\frac{\delta^2 P_t\Phi}{\delta m^2}(\mu)(z, z') &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{\delta^2 \Phi}{\delta m^2}(m_t^\mu)(x, y) d_t^{(1),i}(z)(dx) d_t^{(1),j}(z)(dy) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{\delta \Phi}{\delta m}(m_t^\mu)(x) d_t^{(2),ij}(z, z')(dx)\end{aligned}$$

- $d^{(2),ij}$ solves same equation but with perturbation

$$\partial_t d_t^{(2),ij} - L_{m_t(\mu)} d_t^{(2),ij} + R\left(t, d_t^{(1),i}(z), d_t^{(1),j}(z')\right) = 0$$

and 0 initial condition

Bounds that look needed

- Uniform exponential decay (within suitable distribution space) of solutions of

$$\partial_t d_t^{(1),i} - L_{m(t,\mu)} d_t^{(1),i} = 0$$

- should be enough to imply bounded of $\partial_x \frac{\delta \Phi}{\delta m}$

- Uniform exponential decay (within suitable distribution space) of solutions of

$$\partial_t d_t^{(2),i,j} - L_{m(t,\mu)} d_t^{(1),i,j} + r_t = 0$$

- given a perturbation that itself tends to 0 exponentially fast
- should be enough to imply bounded of $\partial_{x,x'}^2 \frac{\delta \Phi^2}{\delta m^2}$

- Roadmap is clear

- address the long time behavior of the linearized operator
- question: locally or uniformly with respect to initial μ ?
- + smoothing in small time

Part IV. Examples

Small enough McKean-Vlasov interaction

- Isolate the mean field dependence in linearized operator

$$L_{m_t(\mu)}(q) = \frac{1}{2}\Delta q + \operatorname{div}\left(qb(\cdot, m_t(\mu))\right) + \operatorname{div}\left(m_t(\mu)\left\langle \frac{\delta b}{\delta m}(\cdot, m_t(\mu)), q \right\rangle\right)$$

- perturbation of

$$L_{m_t(\mu)}^0(q) = \frac{1}{2}\Delta q + \operatorname{div}\left(qb(\cdot, m_t(\mu))\right)$$

- $L_{m_t(\mu)}^0$ adjoint of

$$(L_{m_t(\mu)}^0)^\dagger \psi = \frac{1}{2}\Delta \psi - \nabla \psi \cdot b(\cdot, m_t(\mu))$$

- spectral gap \Rightarrow exponential convergence towards a constant
- if $\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} q_0 = 0 \Rightarrow$ exponential decay
- If $\delta b/\delta m$ small enough with respect to the rate at which exponential decay occurs \Rightarrow **method works!** (Recover Arnaudon, Guillin...)

Potential case

- Consider the case

$$b(x, m) = -\kappa \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla W(x - y) dm(y), \quad \kappa > 0$$

- Key observation

$$b(x, \text{Leb}_{\mathbb{T}^d}) = 0$$

- Lebesgue measure is always invariant!

- Positive definiteness condition (Ruelle, Carrillo...)

$$\forall \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \quad \widehat{W}^{\mathbf{k}} \geq 0$$

- Lebesgue measure is the only invariant measure and exponentially stable

- the linearized operator, at the Lebesgue measure, has spectral gap!

\Rightarrow by combining boths, we get the required results for the linearized operator, for any initial condition

Kuramoto model I

- Same as before but $d = 1$ and $W(x) = \pm \cos(2\pi x)$
 - \rightsquigarrow if $+\cos \Rightarrow$ same as above (interaction has repulsive effect)
 - \rightsquigarrow if $-\cos \Rightarrow$ **interaction becomes attractive**
- From now on, we focus on the attractive case
 - known fact: there exists a threshold κ_c such that many invariant measures for $\kappa > \kappa_c$

\Rightarrow **no uniform propagation of chaos!** for the simple reason that

$$d\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N X_t^i\right) = - \underbrace{\frac{\kappa}{N^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \sin(X_t^i - X_t^j)}_0 dt + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N dB_t^i$$

- two types of invariant measures: Leb_T and $(p_\infty(\cdot - \varphi))_{\varphi \in \mathbb{R}}$
- counter-example obtained by initializing from p_∞

Kuramoto model II

- Best result (Coppini) says that, if the initial distribution is **close enough to** $(p_\infty(\cdot - \varphi))_\varphi$

$\Rightarrow \bar{\mu}_t^N$ stays, with large probability, close to

$$(p_\infty(\cdot - \varphi))_\varphi$$

up until time **$\exp(N^{1-})$**

- Prompts us to assume that Φ is invariant by translation

$$\Phi\left(m \circ (x \mapsto x + \theta)^{-1}\right) = \Phi(m)$$

◦ same question as before but for an initial condition μ different from $\text{Leb}_{\mathbb{T}}$

$$\mu \ll \text{Leb}_{\mathbb{T}} : \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} \cos(2\pi\theta) d\mu(\theta) \right| \geq \eta > 0$$

Sktech of proof

- **Exponential convergence** of m_t^μ to some $p(\cdot - \varphi)$ for $\mu \ll \gg \text{Leb}_{\mathbb{T}}$
- Study of the **linearized operator** works well when $\mu = p(\cdot - \varphi)$
- **Combining the two**

$$\left| d_t^{(1),i}(z) - c \frac{dm_t^\mu}{dx} \right| \leq C \exp(-\lambda t)$$

when μ is away from $\text{Leb}_{\mathbb{T}}$ (and similarly for $d^{(2),ij}(z)$)

- Bounds for $\partial_x \frac{\delta P_t \Phi}{\delta m}(\mu)(x)$ and $\partial_x \partial_y \frac{\delta P_t \Phi}{\delta m^2}(\mu)(x, y)$ for $\mu \ll \gg \text{Leb}_{\mathbb{T}}$
 - for $\bar{\mu}_0^N \ll \gg \text{Leb}_{\mathbb{T}}$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\Phi(\mu_t^N) - \underbrace{\Phi(m_t^{\bar{\mu}_0^N})}_{\sim_{t \nearrow \infty} \Phi(p)} \right] \leq \frac{C}{N}, \quad t \leq \exp(N^{1-})$$

- remains for t large because $\bar{\mu}_t^N$ cannot stay close to $\text{Leb}_{\mathbb{T}}$