

Mean field approximations via log-concavity, and a non-asymptotic perspective on mean field control

Daniel Lacker

Industrial Engineering and Operations Research, *Columbia University*

June 29, 2022

Joint work with:



Sumit Mukherjee
(Columbia Statistics)



Lane Chun Yeung
(Columbia IEOR)

High-dimensional stochastic control

Players $i = 1, \dots, n$ have state processes $X = (X^1, \dots, X^n)$,

$$dX_t^i = \alpha_i(t, X_t)dt + dW_t^i, \quad X_0^i = 0$$

$(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) =$ **Markovian, full-information controls.**

High-dimensional stochastic control

Players $i = 1, \dots, n$ have state processes $X = (X^1, \dots, X^n)$,

$$dX_t^i = \alpha_i(t, X_t) dt + dW_t^i, \quad X_0^i = 0$$

$(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) =$ **Markovian, full-information controls.**

Collectively optimize:

$$V = \sup_{\alpha} J(\alpha) = \sup_{\alpha} \mathbb{E} \left[g(X_T) - \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^T |\alpha_i(t, X_t)|^2 dt \right]$$

Here $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is arbitrary, say bounded from above.

The usual case

“Mean field control” case: g takes the form

$$g(x) = G(L_n(x)), \quad L_n(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{x_i}, \quad G : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

The usual case

“Mean field control” case: g takes the form

$$g(x) = G(L_n(x)), \quad L_n(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{x_i}, \quad G : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Mean field limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$V \rightarrow \bar{V} := \sup_{\alpha} G(\text{Law}(\bar{X}_T)) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T |\bar{\alpha}(t, \bar{X}_t)|^2 dt,$$
$$d\bar{X}_t = \bar{\alpha}(t, \bar{X}_t) dt + d\bar{W}_t, \quad \bar{X}_0 = 0.$$

The usual case

“Mean field control” case: g takes the form

$$g(x) = G(L_n(x)), \quad L_n(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{x_i}, \quad G : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Mean field limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$V \rightarrow \bar{V} := \sup_{\alpha} G(\text{Law}(\bar{X}_T)) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T |\bar{\alpha}(t, \bar{X}_t)|^2 dt, \\ d\bar{X}_t = \bar{\alpha}(t, \bar{X}_t) dt + d\bar{W}_t, \quad \bar{X}_0 = 0.$$

Approximate optimizers for V :

$$\alpha_j(t, x) = \bar{\alpha}_*(t, x_j), \text{ where } \bar{\alpha}_* \text{ optimal for } \bar{V}$$

The usual case

“Mean field control” case: g takes the form

$$g(x) = G(L_n(x)), \quad L_n(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{x_i}, \quad G : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Mean field limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$V \rightarrow \bar{V} := \sup_{\alpha} G(\text{Law}(\bar{X}_T)) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T |\bar{\alpha}(t, \bar{X}_t)|^2 dt,$$
$$d\bar{X}_t = \bar{\alpha}(t, \bar{X}_t) dt + d\bar{W}_t, \quad \bar{X}_0 = 0.$$

Approximate optimizers for V :

$$\alpha_j(t, x) = \bar{\alpha}_*(t, x_j), \text{ where } \bar{\alpha}_* \text{ optimal for } \bar{V}$$

These approximate optimizers are **distributed/decentralized!**

Beyond the usual case

For general $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, no mean field limit available

Beyond the usual case

For general $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, no mean field limit available

Guiding example: Heterogeneous interactions:

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U(x_i) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} J_{ij} K(x_i - x_j)$$

Ex A: Usual case is $J_{ij} = 1/n$

Ex B: J = scaled adjacency matrix of a graph

Can anything be done?

The distributed optimal control problem

Recall:

$$V = \sup_{\alpha} J(\alpha) = \sup_{\alpha} \mathbb{E} \left[g(X_T) - \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^T |\alpha_i(t, X_t)|^2 dt \right]$$

Define:

$$V_{\text{dstr}} = \sup_{\alpha \text{ dstr}} J(\alpha)$$

where sup is over controls of the form $\alpha_i(t, X_t) = \tilde{\alpha}_i(t, X_t^i)$.

The distributed optimal control problem

Recall:

$$V = \sup_{\alpha} J(\alpha) = \sup_{\alpha} \mathbb{E} \left[g(X_T) - \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^T |\alpha_i(t, X_t)|^2 dt \right]$$

Define:

$$V_{\text{dstr}} = \sup_{\alpha \text{ dstr}} J(\alpha)$$

where sup is over controls of the form $\alpha_i(t, X_t) = \tilde{\alpha}_i(t, X_t^i)$.

Question: When are V and V_{dstr} close?

The distributed optimal control problem

Recall:

$$V = \sup_{\alpha} J(\alpha) = \sup_{\alpha} \mathbb{E} \left[g(X_T) - \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^T |\alpha_i(t, X_t)|^2 dt \right]$$

Define:

$$V_{\text{dstr}} = \sup_{\alpha \text{ dstr}} J(\alpha)$$

where sup is over controls of the form $\alpha_i(t, X_t) = \tilde{\alpha}_i(t, X_t^i)$.

Question: When are V and V_{dstr} close?

Related (independent) idea: Seguret-Alasseur-Bonnans-De Paola-Oudjane-Trovato

Comparing the distributed and original problems

Theorem

Let $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be C^2 *concave*, $|g(x)| \leq c_1 e^{c_2 |x|^2}$, $c_2 < 1/2T$. Then

$$0 \leq V - V_{\text{dstr}} \leq nT^2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \|\partial_{ij} g\|_{\infty}^2.$$

Comparing the distributed and original problems

Theorem

Let $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be C^2 **concave**, $|g(x)| \leq c_1 e^{c_2 |x|^2}$, $c_2 < 1/2T$. Then

$$0 \leq V - V_{\text{dstr}} \leq nT^2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \|\partial_{ij} g\|_{\infty}^2.$$

Sanity check 1: $g(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i(x_i) \rightsquigarrow \text{RHS} = 0$

Comparing the distributed and original problems

Theorem

Let $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be C^2 **concave**, $|g(x)| \leq c_1 e^{c_2 |x|^2}$, $c_2 < 1/2T$. Then

$$0 \leq V - V_{\text{dstr}} \leq nT^2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \|\partial_{ij} g\|_{\infty}^2.$$

Sanity check 1: $g(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i(x_i) \rightsquigarrow \text{RHS} = 0$

Sanity check 2: $g(x) = G(L_n(x))$, $G : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ smooth,

$$\rightsquigarrow \partial_{ij} g(x) = \frac{1}{n^2} D_m^2 G(L_n(x), x_i, x_j), \quad \text{for } i \neq j$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \text{RHS} \leq \frac{T^2}{2n} \|D_m^2 G\|_{\infty}^2$$

Comparing the distributed and original problems

Theorem

Let $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be C^2 **concave**, $|g(x)| \leq c_1 e^{c_2 |x|^2}$, $c_2 < 1/2T$. Then

$$0 \leq V - V_{\text{dstr}} \leq nT^2 \left\| \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \partial_{ij} g \right\|_{\infty}^2.$$

Heterogeneous interactions: U, K concave, K even, $J_{ij} \geq 0$,

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U(x_i) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} J_{ij} K(x_i - x_j)$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \|\partial_{ij} g\|_{\infty} = \frac{1}{n} J_{ij} \|K''\|_{\infty}$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \text{RHS} \leq \frac{T^2}{2n} \|K''\|_{\infty}^2 \text{tr}(J^2)$$

Comparing the distributed and original problems

Theorem

Let $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be C^2 **concave**, $|g(x)| \leq c_1 e^{c_2 |x|^2}$, $c_2 < 1/2T$. Then

$$0 \leq V - V_{\text{dstr}} \leq nT^2 \left\| \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \partial_{ij} g \right\|_{\infty}^2.$$

Heterogeneous interactions: U, K concave, K even, $J_{ij} \geq 0$,

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U(x_i) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} J_{ij} K(x_i - x_j)$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \|\partial_{ij} g\|_{\infty} = \frac{1}{n} J_{ij} \|K''\|_{\infty}$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \text{RHS} \leq \frac{T^2}{2n} \|K''\|_{\infty}^2 \text{tr}(J^2)$$

Key condition: $\text{tr}(J^2) = o(n)$.

The bigger picture: a static problem

Proof step 0: With $f = ng$, $\gamma_T = N_n(0, TI)$,

$$nV = \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)} (\langle \mu, f \rangle - H(\mu | \gamma_T)) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \log \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^f d\gamma_T$$

$$nV_{\text{dstr}} = \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{prod}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} (\langle \mu, f \rangle - H(\mu | \gamma_T))$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{\text{prod}}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{\text{product measures}\}$

The bigger picture: a static problem

Proof step 0: With $f = ng$, $\gamma_T = N_n(0, TI)$,

$$nV = \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)} (\langle \mu, f \rangle - H(\mu | \gamma_T)) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \log \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^f d\gamma_T$$
$$nV_{\text{dstr}} = \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{prod}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} (\langle \mu, f \rangle - H(\mu | \gamma_T))$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{\text{prod}}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{\text{product measures}\}$

Static problem: When is Gibbs variational formula (*) “nearly” saturated by product measures?

cf. **nonlinear large deviations** theory, Chatterjee-Dembo '16,
also Basak-Mukherjee '17, Eldan '18, Austin '19, Augeri '20...

The bigger picture: a static problem

Proof step 0: With $f = ng$, $\gamma_T = N_n(0, TI)$,

$$nV = \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)} (\langle \mu, f \rangle - H(\mu | \gamma_T)) = \log \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^f d\gamma_T$$

$$nV_{\text{dstr}} = \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{prod}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} (\langle \mu, f \rangle - H(\mu | \gamma_T))$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{\text{prod}}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{\text{product measures}\}$

Easy identity: Let $P(dx) = (1/Z)e^{f(x)}\gamma_T(dx)$. Then

$$n(V - V_{\text{dstr}}) = \inf \{ H(\mu | P) : \mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{prod}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \}$$

Proof outline

$$n(V - V_{\text{dstr}}) = \inf \{ H(\mu | P) : \mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{prod}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \}$$

Step 1: First-order condition for an optimizer μ^* :

$$\frac{d\mu^*}{d\gamma_T} = (1/Z') \exp \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}_{\mu^*} [f(X) | X_i]$$

Proof outline

$$n(V - V_{\text{dstr}}) = \inf \{ H(\mu | P) : \mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{prod}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \}$$

Step 1: First-order condition for an optimizer μ^* :

$$\frac{d\mu^*}{d\gamma_T} = (1/Z') \exp \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}_{\mu^*} [f(X) | X_i]$$

Concavity of f \Rightarrow uniqueness of optimizer!

Proof by displacement convexity a la McCann '97.

Proof outline

$$n(V - V_{\text{dstr}}) = \inf \{ H(\mu | P) : \mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{prod}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \}$$

Step 1: First-order condition for an optimizer μ^* :

$$\frac{d\mu^*}{d\gamma_T} = (1/Z') \exp \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}_{\mu^*} [f(X) | X_i]$$

Concavity of f \Rightarrow uniqueness of optimizer!

Proof by displacement convexity a la McCann '97.

Step 2: μ^* is log-concave: $\nabla^2 \log \frac{d\mu^*}{d\gamma_T} \preceq 0$

Proof outline

Step 3, the main calculation:

P log-concave \Rightarrow log-Sobolev inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}n(V - V_{\text{dstr}}) &= H(\mu^* | P) \leq \frac{T}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^*} \left| \nabla \log \frac{d\mu^*}{dP} \right|^2 \\ &= \dots = \frac{T}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}_{\mu^*} \text{Var}_{\mu^*}(\partial_i f(X) | X_i)\end{aligned}$$

Proof outline

Step 3, the main calculation:

P log-concave \Rightarrow log-Sobolev inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}n(V - V_{\text{dstr}}) &= H(\mu^* | P) \leq \frac{T}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^*} \left| \nabla \log \frac{d\mu^*}{dP} \right|^2 \\ &= \dots = \frac{T}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}_{\mu^*} \text{Var}_{\mu^*}(\partial_i f(X) | X_i)\end{aligned}$$

μ^* log-concave \Rightarrow Poincaré inequality:

$$\leq \text{Var}_{\mu^*}(\partial_i f(X) | X_i) \leq T \sum_{j \neq i} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^*} [|\partial_{ij} f(X)|^2 | X_i]$$

Proof outline

Step 3, the main calculation:

P log-concave \Rightarrow log-Sobolev inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}n(V - V_{\text{dstr}}) &= H(\mu^* | P) \leq \frac{T}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^*} \left| \nabla \log \frac{d\mu^*}{dP} \right|^2 \\ &= \dots = \frac{T}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}_{\mu^*} \text{Var}_{\mu^*}(\partial_i f(X) | X_i)\end{aligned}$$

μ^* log-concave \Rightarrow Poincaré inequality:

$$\leq \text{Var}_{\mu^*}(\partial_i f(X) | X_i) \leq T \sum_{j \neq i} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^*} [|\partial_{ij} f(X)|^2 | X_i]$$

Combine with tower property:

$$n(V - V_{\text{dstr}}) \leq T^2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^*} |\partial_{ij} f(X)|^2$$

Approximate independence

More can be said using μ^* about $P(dx) = (1/Z)e^{f(x)}\gamma_T(dx)$:

- ▶ Empirical measure is similar under P and μ^* :

$$\mathbb{E}_P \left[\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(X_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}_{\mu^*}[\varphi(X_i)] \right)^2 \right] \leq \frac{T}{n} (1 + \sqrt{2RHS})^2$$

Approximate independence

More can be said using μ^* about $P(dx) = (1/Z)e^{f(x)}\gamma_T(dx)$:

- ▶ Empirical measure is similar under P and μ^* :

$$\mathbb{E}_P \left[\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(X_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}_{\mu^*}[\varphi(X_i)] \right)^2 \right] \leq \frac{T}{n} (1 + \sqrt{2RHS})^2$$

- ▶ $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{W}_2^2(P_i, \mu_i^*) \leq (2T/n)RHS$

Back to the control problem

The optimal controls for V and V_{dstr} can be characterized in terms of $P(dx) = (1/Z)e^{f(x)}\gamma_T(dx)$ and μ^* :

- ▶ V : optimal $X = (X^1, \dots, X^n)$ is Brownian bridge $0 \rightarrow P$
- ▶ V_{dstr} : optimal $X = (X^1, \dots, X^n)$ is Brownian bridge $0 \rightarrow \mu^*$:

Brownian bridge $0 \rightarrow Q \ll \gamma_T$: The process X with $X_T \sim Q$, and $(X|X_T = x) \sim (\text{Brownian bridge from } 0 \text{ to } x \text{ over } [0, T])$.

Corresponding control:

$$\alpha_i(t, x) = \partial_i \log \mathbb{E}[(dQ/d\gamma_T)(W_T) | W_t = x]$$