

Qualitative / Quantitative

Surveys/refs:

- Sznitman '89/91
- Jakšić-Wang '18
- Chatterton-Diez '21-22

I. Kac's chaos (molecular chaos) (asympt. indep.)

Let $P^n \in \mathcal{P}(E^n)$, E a Polish space.

$$(X_1^n, \dots, X_n^n) \sim P^n$$

Assume P^n exchangeable: $(X_1^n, \dots, X_n^n) \stackrel{d}{=} (X_{\sigma(1)}^n, \dots, X_{\sigma(n)}^n)$
for all permutations σ Def: For $1 \leq k \leq n$, let $P_k^n = \text{Law}(X_1^n, \dots, X_k^n)$.Def: Let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(E)$. We say $(P^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is μ -chaotic if $P_k^n \Rightarrow \mu^{\otimes k}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.Note: \Rightarrow weak convergence, $\varphi \in C_b(E^k)$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P_k^n, \varphi \rangle &= \int_{E^k} \varphi dP_k^n \rightarrow \langle \mu^{\otimes k}, \varphi \rangle \\ &= \int \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k) \mu(dx_1) \dots \mu(dx_k) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Sznitman-Tanaka):

Let μ and P^n be as above. Then

(local) ① P^n is n -chaotic

(local)

① P^n is μ -chaotic

iff

(global)

② $P^n(x \in E^n : |\langle L_n(x), \varphi \rangle - \langle \mu, \varphi \rangle| > \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$

for all $\varepsilon > 0, \varphi \in C_b(E)$, where $L_n: E^n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(E)$

$$L_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{x_i}$$

OR: $P^n \circ L_n^{-1} \rightarrow \delta_\mu$ in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(E))$

OR: $L_n \rightarrow \mu$ in probability

Proof:

① \Rightarrow ② Let $\varphi \in C_b(E)$.

$$E_{P^n} (\langle L_n(x^n), \varphi \rangle - \langle \mu, \varphi \rangle)^2$$

$$= E \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\varphi(x_i^n) - \langle \mu, \varphi \rangle) \right)^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n E (\varphi(x_i^n) - \langle \mu, \varphi \rangle)^2 \quad O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i} E [(\varphi(x_i^n) - \langle \mu, \varphi \rangle)(\varphi(x_j^n) - \langle \mu, \varphi \rangle)]$$

\leq (exch) $\int_{E^2} (\varphi(x_1) - \langle \mu, \varphi \rangle)(\varphi(x_2) - \langle \mu, \varphi \rangle) P_2^n(dx_1, dx_2)$

$\xrightarrow{(k=2)}$ (mu-chaotic) $\int_{E^2} \dots \mu(dx_1) \mu(dx_2)$
 $= 0$.

1 \Leftarrow 2

$\varphi \in C_b(E^k)$

$$\rightarrow \langle P_k^n - \mu^{\otimes k}, \varphi \rangle = \underbrace{\langle P_k^n, \varphi \rangle - \int \langle L_n^{\otimes k}, \varphi \rangle dP^n}_{\text{a bit of work}} + \underbrace{\int \langle L_n^{\otimes k}, \varphi \rangle dP^n - \langle \mu^{\otimes k}, \varphi \rangle}_{\rightarrow 0 \text{ by asmp}}$$

a bit of work
Diacomb-Freedman

$P_k^n \approx$ law of k particles drawn w/o replacement

$\int L_n^{\otimes k} dP^n \approx$ law of k particles drawn with replacement

... rest an exercise

II. Interacting Diffusions

• Particles $i=1, \dots, n$ living in \mathbb{R}^d

$$dx_t^i = b(x_t^i, \mu_t^n) dt + dW_t^i, \quad \mu_t^n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{x_t^j}$$

where $b: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$,

W^1, \dots, W^n independent Brownians.

Note:

- constant σ
- no common noise

• Let $P_t^n = \text{Law}(x_t^1, \dots, x_t^n)$, $t \geq 0$.

Ex: linear/scalar / pairwise interactions

$$b(x, m) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} a(x, y) m(dy)$$

$$\rightarrow b(x_t^i, \mu_t^n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n a(x_t^i, x_t^j)$$

Mean field limit $n \rightarrow \infty$?

(McKean 60s)

Mean field limit $n \rightarrow \infty$? (McKean 60s)

McKean-Vlasov equation

$$dY_t = b(Y_t, \mu_t) dt + dW_t, \quad \mu_t = \text{Law}(Y_t)$$

"Propagation of chaos" refers to the implication

$$\left[P_0^n \text{ is } \mu_0\text{-chaotic} \right] \Rightarrow \left[P_t^n \text{ is } \mu_t\text{-chaotic } \forall t > 0 \right]$$

$$\left(P_0^n = \bar{\mu}^n \quad \uparrow \text{ special case} \right)$$

Laboratory example of Sznitman:

$$\text{Define } W_p(\mu, \nu) = \inf \left\{ \left(E |X - Y|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} : X \sim \mu, Y \sim \nu \right\}$$

for $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ i.e. $\int |x|^p d\mu(x) < \infty$.

Assume b is Lipschitz:

$$|b(x, m) - b(x', m')| \leq L(|x - x'| + W_1(m, m'))$$

Assume initial distribution $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Thm 1: There exists a unique (strong) solution of

$$dY_t = b(Y_t, \mu_t) dt + dW_t, \quad Y_0 \sim \lambda.$$

Proof sketch: Fix μ_t^1, μ_t^2 , $i=1,2$, $t \geq 0$, $\mu_0^1 = \mu_0^2 = \lambda$.

$$\left(\text{Solve } dY_t^i = b(Y_t^i, \mu_t^i) dt + dW_t, \quad Y_0^i = Y_0 \sim \lambda. \right)$$

Solve $dY_t^i = b(Y_t^i, \mu_t^i)dt + \underline{dw}_t$, $\underline{Y_0^1 = Y_0^2 \sim \lambda}$.

Let $\bar{\mu}_t^i = \text{Law}(Y_t^i)$.

$$|Y_t^1 - Y_t^2| \leq \int_0^t |b(Y_s^1, \mu_s^1) - b(Y_s^2, \mu_s^2)| ds$$

$$\leq L \int_0^t (|Y_s^1 - Y_s^2| + w_1(\mu_s^1, \mu_s^2)) ds$$

$$\Rightarrow |Y_t^1 - Y_t^2| \leq L e^{Lt} \int_0^t w_1(\mu_s^1, \mu_s^2) ds$$

$$\Rightarrow w_1(\bar{\mu}_t^1, \bar{\mu}_t^2) \leq E |Y_t^1 - Y_t^2| \leq c \int_0^t w_1(\mu_s^1, \mu_s^2) ds$$

...

Theorem 2: Assume x_0^i are iid λ .

Solve $dY_t^i = b(Y_t^i, \mu_t)dt + \underline{dw}_t^i$, $\underline{Y_0^i = X_0^i}$.

$\mu_t = \text{Law}(Y_t^i)$,

where w^i are same Brownian motions driving X^i 's.

Then

① $E |X_t^i - Y_t^i| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\forall i$ fixed.

② $w_1(\mu_t^n, \mu_t) \rightarrow 0$ a.s. and L^1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof: $t \in [0, T]$

$$|X_t^i - Y_t^i| \leq L \int_0^t (|X_s^i - Y_s^i| + w_1(\mu_s^n, \mu_s)) ds$$

$$\rightarrow |X_t^i - Y_t^i| \leq L e^{Lt} \int_0^t w_1(\mu_s^n, \mu_s) ds$$

$$\leq L e^{LT} \int_0^t [w_1(\mu_s^n, \nu_s^n) + w_1(\nu_s^n, \mu_s)] ds$$

$$\leq L e^{-\lambda} \int_0^t [W_1(\mu_s^n, \nu_s^n) + W_1(\nu_s^n, \mu_s)] ds$$

where $\nu_s^n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{Y_s^i}$. ($\nu_s^n \xrightarrow{c.f.} \mu_s$)

Then

$$W_1(\mu_t^n, \nu_t^n) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |X_t^i - Y_t^i|$$

$$\leq L e^{\lambda T} \int_0^t W_1(\mu_s^n, \nu_s^n) + W_1(\nu_s^n, \mu_s) ds$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_s^i} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{Y_s^i} \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{(X_s^i, Y_s^i)} & \end{array} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow W_1(\mu_t^n, \nu_t^n) \leq C \int_0^t W_1(\nu_s^n, \mu_s) ds$$

$\rightarrow 0$ a.s. and in L^1 because Y_s^i iid $\mu_s \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

check!

$$|X_t^i - Y_t^i| \leq \tilde{C} \int_0^t W_1(\nu_s^n, \mu_s) ds$$

Key ideas: "synchronous coupling" / "trajectorial" (Lipshitz)

cf. Durmus - Eberle - Guillin - Zimmer '20

\rightsquigarrow "reflection coupling"

Rates:

① $\mathbb{E} W_1(\mu_t^n, \mu_t)$ and $\mathbb{E} |X_t^i - Y_t^i|$

controlled in terms of $\mathbb{E} W_1(\nu_t^n, \mu_t)$, Y_s^i 's iid $\rightarrow \nu^n$

cf. Fournier - Guillin '15

$$\rightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} & \text{in } d=1 \\ \frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{n} & \text{in } d=2 \\ \frac{1}{n^{1/d}} & \text{in } d \geq 3 \end{cases}$$

② Linear case: $b(x, m) = \int a(x, y) m(dy)$, a is L -Lipshitz

② Linear case: $b(x, m) = \int a(x, y) m(dy)$, a is L -Lipschitz

Same argument:

$Y_s^i \text{ iid } \sim \mu_s$

$$\begin{aligned} E |X_t^i - Y_t^i|^2 &= C E \int_0^t \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (a(Y_s^i, Y_s^j) - \langle \mu_s, a(Y_s^i, \cdot) \rangle) \right|^2 ds \\ &= C \int_0^t E \text{Var} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n a(Y_s^i, Y_s^j) \mid Y_s^i \right) ds \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$\rightarrow E |X_t^i - Y_t^i| = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ dimension-free

$W_1(L(X_s^i), L(Y_s^i)) = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ \leftarrow \star pt 2: can be improved to $O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$! (diff. method)

III. Weak compactness (versatile)

• PDF: Nonlinear Fokker-Planck equation associated to

$$dY_t = b(Y_t, \mu_t) dt + dW_t, \quad \mu_t = \text{Law}(Y_t \mid (B_s)_{s \leq t})$$

Ito ... $\forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \langle \mu_t, \varphi \rangle = \langle \mu_t, L_{\mu_t} \varphi \rangle + \sigma \langle \mu_t, \varphi \rangle dB_t$$

"superposition principle"
Fisalli/Trevisan

where

$$L_m \varphi(x) = b(x, m) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \varphi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, m \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Formally: $d_t \mu_t = L_{\mu_t}^* \mu_t = -\text{div}(\mu_t b(\cdot, \mu_t)) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mu_t$

Theorem: Assume $b: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded + continuous.

Assume $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{x_i} \rightarrow \lambda$, Then, $\forall T > 0$,

Assume $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{x_i} \rightarrow \lambda$, Then, $\forall T > 0$,

$$\left\{ L((\mu_t^n)_{t \in [0, T]}) : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \subset \mathcal{P}(C([0, T]; \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)))$$

is tight, and every limit point is supported on the set S_{mv} of $(\mu_t)_{t \in [0, T]} \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ s.t.,

$$\langle \mu_t, \varphi \rangle = \langle \lambda, \varphi \rangle + \int_0^t \langle \mu_s, L_{\mu_s} \varphi \rangle ds.$$

In particular, if $S_{mv} = \{\mu\}$ is a singleton, then $\mu_0^n \rightarrow \mu$.

Ref: Oelschläger, Méléard, Gärtner 80s

Proof sketch:

(1) tightness (Aldous' criterion, Szentman)

(2) Characterize limits, Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Then

$$\varphi(x_t^i) = \varphi(x_0^i) + \int_0^t \left(b(x_s^i, \mu_s^n) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x_s^i) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \varphi(x_s^i) \right) ds + \int_0^t \nabla \varphi(x_s^i) \cdot dW_s^i$$

$$\boxed{L_{\mu_s^n} \varphi(x_s^i)}$$

So $\langle \mu_t^n, \varphi \rangle = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(x_t^i)$

$$dX_t^i = b dt + dW_t^i + \gamma dB_t^i$$

$$= \langle \mu_0^n, \varphi \rangle + \int_0^t \langle \mu_s^n, L_{\mu_s^n} \varphi \rangle ds$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t \nabla \varphi(x_s^i) \cdot dW_s^i$$

$$\gamma \int_0^t \langle \mu_s, \varphi \rangle dB_s$$

$$+ \gamma \int_0^t \langle \mu_s^n, \varphi \rangle dB_s$$

\hookrightarrow Vanishes as $n \rightarrow \infty$!

Note

$$- E \left[\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t \nabla \varphi(x_s^i) \cdot dW_s^i \right)^2 \right]$$

$\sim \frac{1}{n} \int_0^t \dots$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \int_0^t |\nabla \varphi(x_s^i)|^2 ds = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

Define $G_{t,\varphi}: C([0,T]; \mathcal{D}(n^d)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$G_{t,\varphi}(m) = \langle m_t, \varphi \rangle - \langle \lambda, \varphi \rangle - \int_0^t \langle m_s, L_{m_s} \varphi \rangle ds.$$

Then $G_{t,\varphi}$ is continuous \checkmark and

$$\rightarrow \mathbb{E} |G_{t,\varphi}(\mu_t^n)|^2 \rightarrow 0$$

$$\Rightarrow G_{t,\varphi}(\mu) = 0 \text{ a.s. for any limit } \mu \text{ of } \mu^n.$$

$$\forall t, \varphi$$
