

# CONVERGENCE OF POLICY GRADIENT FOR ENTROPY REGULARIZED MDPs

with Neural Network Approximation  
in the Mean-Field Regime

James-Michael Leahy, Bekzhan Kerimkulov,  
David Siska & Lukasz Szpruch

Imperial College London and University of Edinburgh

9th International Colloquium on BSDEs and MF Systems

# Entropy regularized Markov decision processes (MDPs)

Value function:

$$\pi \in \mathcal{P}_\mu(A|S) \mapsto V_\tau^\pi(\rho) = \mathbb{E}_\rho^\pi \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t \left[ r(s_t, a_t) - \tau \ln \frac{d\pi}{d\mu}(a_t|s_t) \right]$$

- ⊙  $S$  and  $A$ : polish state and action spaces
- ⊙  $P \in \mathcal{P}(S|S \times A)$ : stochastic transition kernel
- ⊙  $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(S)$ : arbitrary initial state distribution
- ⊙  $r \in B_b(S \times A)$ : bounded measurable reward
- ⊙  $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ : discount factor
- ⊙  $\mu$ : finite reference measure on  $\mathcal{B}(A)$
- ⊙  $\tau$ : reward-based entropy regularization

## Soft Bellman equation

Denoting  $V_\tau^\pi(s) = V_\tau^\pi(\delta_s)$  for  $s \in S$ , we define

$$Q_\tau^\pi(s, a) = r(s, a) + \gamma \int_S V_\tau^\pi(s') P(ds' | s, a).$$

Let  $V^*(s) = \sup_\pi V^\pi(s)$  and define  $Q^*$  analogously.

### Theorem ( $\tau$ -entropy regularized DPP)

*If  $\tau = 0$ , the usual Bellman equation holds. If  $\tau > 0$ , then for all  $s \in S$ ,*

$$V_\tau^*(s) = \tau \ln \int_A \exp(Q_\tau^*(s, a)/\tau) \mu(da)$$

*and  $V_\tau^*(\rho) = \int_S V^*(s) \rho(ds)$ . Moreover, there is a unique optimal policy*

$$\pi_\tau^*(da|s) = \exp((Q_\tau^*(s, a) - V_\tau^*(s))/\tau) \mu(da).$$

# Unknown dynamics or high dimension

What do you do if you don't know the dynamics or the dimension too large?

- ⊙ direct: learn the dynamics and solve Bellman if dimension is low
- ⊙ indirect:  $Q$ -learning i.e., swap  $\sup \mathbb{E}$  to  $\mathbb{E} \sup$  and use stochastic approx
- ⊙ indirect: policy gradient i.e., parameterize policy
- ⊙ indirect: hybrid e.g., actor-critic
- ⊙ all other approximate dynamic programming [Bertsekas et al., 2011]

If you don't know the dynamics, you can compare algorithms by their performance on a finite number of “plays or samples” (i.e., regret)

# Policy gradient in a nutshell

Parameterize policy:

$$J^\tau(\theta) = V_\tau^{\pi_\theta}(\rho), \quad \text{where} \quad \pi_\theta(da|s) \sim \exp(f(s, a, \theta))\mu(da)$$

Policy gradient:

$$\nabla_\theta J^\tau(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{d_\rho^{\pi_\theta}} \left[ \left( Q_\tau^\pi - \tau \ln \frac{d\pi_\theta}{d\mu} \right) \nabla_\theta \ln \pi_\theta \right]$$

$$d_\rho^{\pi_\theta}(ds) = \mathbb{E}_\rho[(\text{id} - \gamma P^\pi)^{-1}]: \text{occupancy measure}$$

Estimate gradient using rollouts or stochastic approximation of  $Q_\tau^\pi$

Policy gradient flow:

$$\dot{\theta}_t = \nabla_\theta \hat{J}^\tau(\theta)$$

$\tau = \tau_t$  helps with exploring AND convergence

# Softmax mean-field parameterized policy

Softmax parameterized policy:

$$\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \mapsto \pi_\nu(da|s) \sim \exp\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(s, a, \theta) \nu(d\theta)\right) \mu(da)$$

⊙  $f \in L^\infty(S \times A; C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ : smooth parametric family

## Neural network mean-field approximation

Let  $S = \mathbb{R}^{d_s}$ ,  $A = \mathbb{R}^{d_A}$ ,  $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  smooth,

$$f(s, a, (c, w, b)) = \sum_{k=1}^K \psi(c_k) \tanh(\langle w_k, (s, a) \rangle + b_k).$$

For an i.i.d. sample  $\{\theta^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^\infty = \{(c^{(n)}, w^{(n)}, b^{(n)})\}_{n=1}^\infty \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \nu$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(s, a, \theta) \nu(d\theta) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \psi(c_k^{(n)}) \tanh(\langle w_k^{(n)}, (s, a) \rangle + b_k^{(n)}).$$

# Convergence of softmax policy gradient

Tabular:  $\pi_\theta(s|a) = \text{softmax}(\theta(s, a))$

- ⊙  $O(1/\sqrt{t})$ -convergence of policy gradient [Agarwal et al., 2021]
- ⊙  $O(1/t)$ -convergence of softmax policy gradient [Mei et al., 2020]
- ⊙  $O(e^{-ct})$ -convergence of entropy-regularized PG [Mei et al., 2020]


Continuous state and action: softmax mean-field  $\pi_v$

- ⊙ if PG flow  $v_t$  converges to  $v^*$  with full support, then  $\pi_{v^*} = \pi_\tau^*$  [Agazzi and Lu, 2021]

But does it converge?

# Parameter-based entropy regularization

Entropy regularized objective:

$$J^{\tau, \sigma}(\nu) = V_{\tau}^{\pi_{\nu}}(\rho) - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \text{KL}(\nu | e^{-U})$$


- ⊙  $U$ : potential on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ 
  - bounded 2nd derivative
  - $\kappa$ -strong convex
  - satisfies  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-U(\theta)} d\theta = 1$
  - e.g.,  $U(\theta) = \frac{d}{2} \ln(2\pi) + \frac{1}{2}|\theta|^2$
- ⊙  $\sigma$ : strength of **parameter-based entropy regularization**

Goal: compute  $\nu^* \in \max_{\nu} J^{\tau, \sigma}(\nu)$



# Policy gradient: the Lion's derivative

## Lemma (Lion's derivative)

For all  $v \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\nabla \frac{\delta J^{\tau, \sigma}}{\delta v}(v, \theta) = \nabla \frac{\delta V_{\tau}^{\pi_v}(\rho)}{\delta v}(v, \theta) - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} (\nabla U(\theta) + \nabla \ln v(\theta)) ,$$

where

$$\nabla \frac{\delta V_{\tau}^{\pi_v}(\rho)}{\delta v}(v, \theta) = \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \mathbb{E}_{d_{\rho}^{\pi}} \text{cov}_{\pi_v} \left( Q_{\tau}^{\pi_v} - \tau \ln \frac{d\pi_v}{d\mu}, \nabla f(\theta) \right) .$$

# Properties of Lion's derivative

## Theorem (Boundedness and Lipshitzness)

There are constants  $C_k, k \in \mathbb{N}, L$ , and  $D$  such that for all  $\tau, \tau' \geq 0, \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d, v, v' \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \nabla^k \frac{\delta J^{\tau,0}}{\delta v}(v, \theta) \right| &\leq C_k, \\ |J^{\tau,0}(v') - J^{\tau,0}(v)| &\leq C_1 W_1(v', v), \\ \left| \nabla \frac{\delta J^{\tau,0}}{\delta v}(v', \theta) - \nabla \frac{\delta J^{\tau,0}}{\delta v}(v, \theta) \right| &\leq L W_1(v', v), \\ \text{and } \left| \nabla \frac{\delta J^{\tau',0}}{\delta v}(v, \theta) - \nabla \frac{\delta J^{\tau,0}}{\delta v}(v, \theta) \right| &\leq D |\tau' - \tau|. \end{aligned}$$

# Policy gradient flow

Theorem (Well-posedness, MKV representation, policy improvement)

For every  $v_0 \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , there exists a unique solution of the policy gradient flow

$$\partial_t v_t = -\nabla \cdot \left( \nabla \frac{\delta J^{\tau, \sigma}}{\delta v}(v_t) v_t \right) = -\nabla \cdot \left( \left( \nabla \frac{\delta V_{\tau}^{\pi_v}(\rho)}{\delta v}(v_t, \theta_t) - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \nabla U \right) v_t \right) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \Delta v_t.$$

The solution has a representation  $v = \text{Law}(\theta)$  as the law of the McKean-Vlasov SDE:

$$d\theta_t = \left( \nabla \frac{\delta V_{\tau}^{\pi_v}(\rho)}{\delta v}(v_t, \theta_t) - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \nabla U(\theta_t) \right) dt + \sigma dW_t.$$

Moreover, along the gradient flow, the regularized optimization objective is increasing

$$\frac{d}{dt} J^{\tau, \sigma}(v_t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\delta J^{\tau, \sigma}}{\delta v}(v_t) \partial_t v_t(d\theta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \nabla \frac{\delta J^{\tau, \sigma}}{\delta v}(v_t) \right|^2 v_t(d\theta) \geq 0.$$

# Policy gradient flow approximation

## Particle approximation

Approximating  $v = (v_t)_{t \geq 0}$  with an empirical measure  $v_t^{(N)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \delta_{\theta_t^{(n)}}$  and discretizing in time with a learning rate  $\eta$ , we arrive at noisy gradient ascent

$$\theta_{k+1}^{(n)} = \theta_k^{(n)} + \eta \left( \nabla \frac{\delta V_{\tau}^{\pi_v}(\rho)}{\delta v}(v_k^{(N)}, \theta_k^{(n)}) - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \nabla U(\theta_k^{(n)}) \right) + \sqrt{\eta} \sigma \zeta_{k+1}^{(n)},$$

where  $\{\zeta_k^{(n)}\}_{1 \leq n \leq N, k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} N(0, 1)$ .

# Convergence of entropy-regularized policy gradient

## Theorem (Convergence in the regularized regime)

If  $\beta := \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\kappa - C_2 - L > 0$ , then there exists a unique solution  $v^*$  of

$$\nabla \cdot \left( \nabla \frac{\delta J^{\tau, \sigma}}{\delta v}(v^*) v^* \right) = \nabla \cdot \left( \left( \nabla \frac{\delta J^{\tau, 0}}{\delta v}(v^*) - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \nabla U \right) v^* \right) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \Delta v^* = 0$$

that is the global maximizer  $v^*$  of  $J^{\tau, \sigma}$  in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Moreover, for all  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$W_2(v_t, v^*) \leq e^{-\beta t} W_2(v_0, v^*).$$

where  $W_2$  denotes the Wasserstein-2 distance.

# Stability of flow

## Theorem (Stability of $W_2$ )

Let  $(v_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and  $(v'_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be the solutions of the PG flow with parameters and initial data  $\sigma, \tau, v_0$  and  $\sigma', \tau', v'_0$ , respectively. Then for all  $\ell > 0$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} W_2^2(v_t, v'_t) &\leq e^{-2\beta_t t} W_2^2(v_0, v'_0) + \frac{|\sigma^2 - \sigma'^2|}{8\ell} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{2\beta_t(s-t)} |\nabla U(\theta)|^2 v'_s(d\theta) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\beta_\ell} (D|\tau - \tau'| + d|\sigma - \sigma'|^2) (1 - e^{-2\beta_\ell t}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\beta_\ell := \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\kappa - C_2(\tau) - L(\tau) - \ell|\sigma^2 - \sigma'^2|$ . Moreover, if  $\beta := \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\kappa - C_2(\tau) - L(\tau) > 0$  and  $v^*$  and  $v'^*$  are stationary solutions with  $\sigma, \tau$  and  $\sigma', \tau'$ , respectively, then for all  $\ell > 0$  such that  $\beta_\ell = \beta - \ell|\sigma^2 - \sigma'^2| > 0$ , we have

$$W_2^2(v^*, v'^*) \leq \frac{|\sigma^2 - \sigma'^2|}{16\ell\beta_\ell} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla U(\theta)|^2 v'^*(d\theta) + \frac{1}{2\beta_\ell} (D|\tau - \tau'| + d|\sigma - \sigma'|^2).$$

# Conclusion

We:

- ⊙ proved the convergence of PG for continuous state and actions provided we add enough regularization
- ⊙ quantified bias introduced by  $\tau, \sigma$ -regularization

What is next:

- ⊙ relaxing regularization strength by establishing non-local Łojasiewicz inequality
- ⊙ study full learning setting (e.g., actor-critic or reinforce)

# References



Agarwal, A., Kakade, S. M., Lee, J. D., and Mahajan, G. (2021).  
**On the theory of policy gradient methods: Optimality, approximation, and distribution shift.**  
*J. Mach. Learn. Res.*, 22(98):1–76.




Agazzi, A. and Lu, J. (2021).  
**Global optimality of softmax policy gradient with single hidden layer neural networks in the mean-field regime.**  
In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.




Bertsekas, D. P. et al. (2011).  
**Dynamic programming and optimal control 3rd edition, volume ii.**  
*Belmont, MA: Athena Scientific.*



# References

 Mei, J., Xiao, C., Szepesvari, C., and Schuurmans, D. (2020).  
**On the global convergence rates of softmax policy gradient methods.**  
In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 6820–6829. PMLR.

 Sutton, R. S., McAllester, D., Singh, S., and Mansour, Y. (1999).  
**Policy gradient methods for reinforcement learning with function approximation.**  
*Advances in neural information processing systems*, 12.