Alexander Steinicke Montanuniversität Leoben

joint work with S. Kremsner, (University of Graz, s2-data)

9th International Colloquium on BSDEs and Mean Field Systems Annecy, 06-27-2022

- Notation, spaces and assumptions
- Natural monotonicity for L^p-settings
- 2 Existence and uniqueness
- 3 A comparison theorem

4 References

Setting

Notation, spaces and assumptions

For Lévy-processes X: We consider

$$egin{aligned} Y_t &= \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s, U_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s - \int_{]t, T] imes \mathbb{R}_0^d} U_s(x) ilde{N}(ds, dx), \ 0 &\leq t \leq T, \end{aligned}$$

X has Lévy-Itô decomposition

$$X_t = \gamma t + \sigma W_t + \int_{(0,t] \times \{|x| < 1\}} x \widetilde{N}(ds, dx) + \int_{(0,t] \times \{|x| \ge 1\}} x N(ds, dx)$$

and Lévy-measure ν .

 $N, \widetilde{N}...$ (compensated) Poisson random measure.

Setting

Notation, spaces and assumptions

Assumptions for the BSDE

$$egin{aligned} Y_t &= \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s, U_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s - \int_{]t, T] imes \mathbb{R}_0^d} U_s(x) ilde{\mathcal{N}}(ds, dx), \ 0 &\leq t \leq T, \end{aligned}$$

Setting

-Notation, spaces and assumptions

Assumptions for the BSDE

$$egin{aligned} Y_t &= \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s, U_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s - \int_{]t, T] imes \mathbb{R}^d_0} U_s(x) ilde{N}(ds, dx), \ 0 &\leq t \leq T, \end{aligned}$$

•
$$\xi \in L^p$$
, $p > 1$

Setting

-Notation, spaces and assumptions

Assumptions for the BSDE

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s, U_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s - \int_{]t, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d_0} U_s(x) \tilde{N}(ds, dx),$$

$$0 \le t \le T,$$

• $\xi \in L^p$, p > 1

• searching for solutions (Y, Z, U) in $S^p \times L^p(W) \times L^p(\tilde{N})$, where

•
$$S^{p} := \left\{ Y : Y \text{ prog.-mble. and } \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_{t}|^{p} < \infty \right\}$$

• $L^{p}(W) := \left\{ Z : Z \text{ prog.-mble. and } \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{0}^{T} |Z_{t}|^{2} dt \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} < \infty \right\}$
• $L^{p}(\tilde{N}) := \left\{ U : U \text{ prog.-mble. and } \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{0}^{d}} |U_{t}(x)|^{2} dt \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} < \infty \right\}$

Setting

Notation, spaces and assumptions

Generators for the BSDE

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s, U_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s - \int_{]t, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d_0} U_s(x) \tilde{N}(ds, dx), \\ 0 &\leq t \leq T, \end{aligned}$$

Setting

-Notation, spaces and assumptions

Generators for the BSDE

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s, U_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s - \int_{]t, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d_0} U_s(x) \tilde{N}(ds, dx), \\ 0 &\leq t \leq T, \end{aligned}$$

•
$$f: \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times L^2(\nu) \to \mathbb{R}$$

Notation, spaces and assumptions

Generators for the BSDE

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s, U_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s - \int_{]t, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d_0} U_s(x) \tilde{N}(ds, dx),$$

$$0 \le t \le T,$$

- $f: \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times L^2(\nu) \to \mathbb{R}$
- such that $(t, \omega) \mapsto f(\omega, t, y, z, u)$ is progressively measurable for all (y, z, u).

Notation, spaces and assumptions

Generators for the BSDE

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s, U_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s - \int_{]t, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d_0} U_s(x) \tilde{N}(ds, dx), \\ 0 &\leq t \leq T, \end{aligned}$$

- $f: \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times L^2(\nu) \to \mathbb{R}$
- such that $(t, \omega) \mapsto f(\omega, t, y, z, u)$ is progressively measurable for all (y, z, u).
- $(y, z, u) \mapsto f(\omega, t, y, z, u)$ is continuous \mathbb{P} -a.s.

-Notation, spaces and assumptions

Growth condition in y:

$$egin{aligned} Y_t &= \xi + \int_t^T f(s,Y_s,Z_s,U_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s - \int_{]t,T] imes \mathbb{R}^d_0} U_s(x) ilde{\mathcal{N}}(ds,dx), \ 0 &\leq t \leq T, \end{aligned}$$

 $|f(\omega, t, y, 0, 0)| \leq K_f(\omega, t) + \psi_r(t), \text{ for } |y| \leq r.$

└─Notation, spaces and assumptions

Growth condition in y:

$$egin{aligned} Y_t &= \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s, U_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s - \int_{]t, T] imes \mathbb{R}_0^d} U_s(x) ilde{N}(ds, dx), \ 0 &\leq t \leq T, \end{aligned}$$

- $|f(\omega, t, y, 0, 0)| \leq K_f(\omega, t) + \psi_r(t), \text{ for } |y| \leq r.$
- K_f is a nonnegative progressively measurable process such that $\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T K_f(s)ds\right]^p < C$, $\mathbb{P} a.s$.

- Setting

Notation, spaces and assumptions

Growth condition in y:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s, U_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s - \int_{]t, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d_0} U_s(x) \tilde{N}(ds, dx), \\ 0 &\leq t \leq T, \end{aligned}$$

- $|f(\omega, t, y, 0, 0)| \leq K_f(\omega, t) + \psi_r(t), \text{ for } |y| \leq r.$
- K_f is a nonnegative progressively measurable process such that $\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T K_f(s) ds\right]^p < C$, $\mathbb{P} a.s$.
- For all r > 0, ψ_r is nonnegative, progressively measurable and $\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \psi_r(s) ds < \infty$.

BSDEs with Jumps in the L^p-setting: Existence, Uniqueness and Comparison



- Notation, spaces and assumptions
- Natural monotonicity for L^p-settings
- 2 Existence and uniqueness
- 3 A comparison theorem

4 References

└─Natural monotonicity for *L^p*-settings

Extended monotonicity condition, $p \ge 2$:

$$egin{aligned} &Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s, U_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s - \int_{]t, T] imes \mathbb{R}_0^d} U_s(x) ilde{N}(ds, dx), \ &0 \leq t \leq T, \end{aligned}$$

$$|y - y'|^{p-2} \langle y - y', f(t, y, z, u) - f(t, y', z', u') \rangle \leq \frac{\alpha(t)\rho(|y - y'|^2)|y - y'|^{p-2} + \mu(t)|y - y'|^p}{+\beta(t)|y - y'|^{p-1}(|z - z'| + ||u - u'||_{\nu})}$$

└─Natural monotonicity for *L^p*-settings

Extended monotonicity condition, $p \ge 2$:

$$egin{aligned} &Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s, U_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s - \int_{]t, T] imes \mathbb{R}_0^d} U_s(x) ilde{N}(ds, dx), \ &0 \leq t \leq T, \end{aligned}$$

$$|y - y'|^{p-2} \langle y - y', f(t, y, z, u) - f(t, y', z', u') \rangle \leq \alpha(t) \rho(|y - y'|^2) |y - y'|^{p-2} + \mu(t) |y - y'|^p + \beta(t) |y - y'|^{p-1} (|z - z'| + ||u - u'||_{\nu}) 0 \leq \alpha \in L^1([0, T])$$

- Setting

-Natural monotonicity for *L^p*-settings

Extended monotonicity condition, $p \ge 2$:

$$egin{aligned} Y_t &= \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s, U_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s - \int_{]t, T] imes \mathbb{R}_0^d} U_s(x) ilde{N}(ds, dx), \ 0 &\leq t \leq T, \end{aligned}$$

$$|y - y'|^{p-2} \langle y - y', f(t, y, z, u) - f(t, y', z', u') \rangle \leq \frac{\alpha(t)\rho(|y - y'|^2)|y - y'|^{p-2} + \mu(t)|y - y'|^p}{+\beta(t)|y - y'|^{p-1}(|z - z'| + ||u - u'||_{\nu})}$$

• $0 \leq \alpha \in L^1([0, T])$

• μ, β nonnegative, progressively measurable and $\int_0^T (\mu(s) + \beta(s)^2) ds < c, \mathbb{P}$ -a.s.

└─Natural monotonicity for *L^p*-settings

Extended monotonicity condition, $p \ge 2$:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s, U_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s - \int_{]t, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d_0} U_s(x) \tilde{N}(ds, dx), \\ 0 &\leq t \leq T, \end{aligned}$$

$$|y - y'|^{p-2} \langle y - y', f(t, y, z, u) - f(t, y', z', u') \rangle \le \alpha(t) \rho(|y - y'|^2) |y - y'|^{p-2} + \mu(t) |y - y'|^{p} + \beta(t) |y - y'|^{p-1} (|z - z'| + ||u - u'||_{\nu})$$

• $\rho: [0, \infty[\to [0, \infty[\text{ is concave, nondecreasing, } \rho(0) = 0, \int_{0+} \frac{1}{\rho(x)} dx = \infty \text{ and } \lim_{x \searrow 0} \frac{\rho(x^2)}{x} = 0$

Natural monotonicity for L^P-settings

Extended monotonicity condition, 1 ::

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s, U_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s - \int_{]t, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d_0} U_s(x) \tilde{N}(ds, dx), \\ 0 &\leq t \leq T, \end{aligned}$$

$$|y - y'|^{p-2} \langle y - y', f(t, y, z, u) - f(t, y', z', u') \rangle \leq \alpha(t) \rho(|y - y'|^{p}) + \mu(t)|y - y'|^{p} + |y - y'|^{p-1} (\beta_{1}(t)|z - z'| + \beta_{2}(t)||u - u'||_{\nu}) \alpha \in L^{1}([0, T])$$

• $\int_0^T (\beta_1(t)^2 + \beta_2(s)^q) ds < c$, \mathbb{P} -a.s. for some q > 2.

└─Natural monotonicity for *L^p*-settings

We consider it 'natural', because the above condition

└─Setting └─Natural monotonicity for *L^p*-settings

We consider it 'natural', because the above condition

 harmonizes well with the proof techniques using the BDG-inequality and related maximal inequalities for jump-martingales. └─ Setting └─ Natural monotonicity for *L^p*-settings

We consider it 'natural', because the above condition

- harmonizes well with the proof techniques using the BDG-inequality and related maximal inequalities for jump-martingales.
- The function ρ, extending the monotonicity to an Osgood condition allows to extend standard techniques which normally use Gronwall's inequality through the Bihari-LaSalle-inequality.

└─ Setting └─ Natural monotonicity for *L^p*-settings

We consider it 'natural', because the above condition

- harmonizes well with the proof techniques using the BDG-inequality and related maximal inequalities for jump-martingales.
- The function ρ, extending the monotonicity to an Osgood condition allows to extend standard techniques which normally use Gronwall's inequality through the Bihari-LaSalle-inequality.
- We avoid the definition of specially designed spaces, just keeping the S^p × L^p(W) × L^p(Ñ) also for the case 1

Existence and uniqueness

Existence theorem in the present case:

- Existence and uniqueness

Existence theorem in the present case:

Theorem

If $\xi \in L^p$ and p > 1, and the mentioned assumptions hold for f, then there exists a unique solution to the given BSDE s.t. $\mathbb{E} \sup_t |Y_t|^p + \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T (|Z_t|^2 + ||U_t||_{\nu}^2) dt \right]^{\frac{p}{2}} < \infty.$ Existence and uniqueness

Existence theorem in the present case:

Theorem

If $\xi \in L^p$ and p > 1, and the mentioned assumptions hold for f, then there exists a unique solution to the given BSDE s.t. $\mathbb{E} \sup_t |Y_t|^p + \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T (|Z_t|^2 + ||U_t||_{\nu}^2) dt \right]^{\frac{p}{2}} < \infty.$

A priori estimate:

Theorem

Let $(\xi, f), (\xi', f')$ satisfy the above assumptions. Then

$$\sup_{t} \mathbb{E}|Y_{t} - Y_{t}'|^{p} + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T}|Z_{t} - Z_{t}'|^{2}ds\right]^{\frac{p}{2}} + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T}\|U_{t} - U_{t}'\|_{\nu}^{2}dt\right]^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ \leq h\left(\|\xi - \xi'\|_{L^{p}}, \left\|\int_{0}^{T}|f(t, Y_{t}, Z_{t}, U_{t}) - f'(t, Y_{t}, Z_{t}, U_{t})|dt\right\|_{L^{p}}\right). \\ h(u, v) \to 0 \ if(u, v) \to (0, 0).$$

Existence and uniqueness

```
Similar settings have been considered e.g. in:
Kruse and Popier '16, '17
Yao '17
C. Geiss and S. '18
```

	growth in y	р	activity	coefficients
Kruse & Popier	general	$p \ge 2 \text{ OK},$ $1in specialspaces$	infinite	constant
Yao	general	1 < <i>p</i> < 2	finite	ho, time-dep.
G.& S.	linear	p = 2 only	infinite	ho, time-dep.
K.& S.	general	p>1	infinite	ρ , time-dep.

1 Setting

- Notation, spaces and assumptions
- Natural monotonicity for L^p-settings

2 Existence and uniqueness

3 A comparison theorem



Comparison theorems for BSDEs with jumps need an additional condition (counterexample in Barles et al. '97).

Standard conditions: $\xi \leq \xi'$, $f(Y, Z, U) \leq f'(Y, Z, U)$ or $f(Y', Z', U') \leq f'(Y', Z', U')$

Comparison theorems for BSDEs with jumps need an additional condition (counterexample in Barles et al. '97).

Standard conditions: $\xi \leq \xi'$, $f(Y, Z, U) \leq f'(Y, Z, U)$ or $f(Y', Z', U') \leq f'(Y', Z', U')$

Additional condition: f or f' satisfy $(A \gamma)$

$$f(t, y, z, u) - f(t, y, z, u') \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}} (u'(x) - u(x))\nu(dx),$$

for all $u, u' \in L^2(\nu), u \leq u'$.

Comparison theorems for BSDEs with jumps need an additional condition (counterexample in Barles et al. '97).

Standard conditions: $\xi \leq \xi'$, $f(Y, Z, U) \leq f'(Y, Z, U)$ or $f(Y', Z', U') \leq f'(Y', Z', U')$

Additional condition: f or f' satisfy $(A \gamma)$

$$f(t,y,z,u)-f(t,y,z,u')\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}}(u'(x)-u(x))\nu(dx),$$

for all $u, u' \in L^2(\nu), u \leq u'$.

Theorem

Then, $Y_t \leq Y'_t$, \mathbb{P} -a.s.

Proof techniques:

• Nice proof for $\nu(\mathbb{R}) < \infty$:

Proof techniques:

• Nice proof for $\nu(\mathbb{R}) < \infty$:

Roughly: After using Itô's formula, split up the difference u - u' into sets where $u \le u'$ and u > u'. Then use (A γ) and the Osgood condition, and end up with Bihari-LaSalle.

Proof techniques:

• Nice proof for $\nu(\mathbb{R}) < \infty$:

Roughly: After using Itô's formula, split up the difference u - u' into sets where $u \le u'$ and u > u'. Then use (A γ) and the Osgood condition, and end up with Bihari-LaSalle.

Approximate the original BSDE by BSDEs driven by processes Xⁿ with finite Lévy measures.

(C. Geiss & S. \rightarrow linear growth in y)

Proof techniques:

• Nice proof for $\nu(\mathbb{R}) < \infty$:

Roughly: After using Itô's formula, split up the difference u - u' into sets where $u \le u'$ and u > u'. Then use (A γ) and the Osgood condition, and end up with Bihari-LaSalle.

- Approximate the original BSDE by BSDEs driven by processes
 Xⁿ with finite Lévy measures.
 - (C. Geiss & S. \rightarrow linear growth in y)
- Now: 'Cut off' the Lévy measure directly.

Back to the Lévy-Itô decomposition:

$$X_t = \gamma t + \sigma W_t + \int_{\{0,t] \times \{|x| < 1\}} x \widetilde{N}(ds, dx) + \int_{\{0,t] \times \{|x| \ge 1\}} x \mathcal{N}(ds, dx)$$

Back to the Lévy-Itô decomposition:

$$X_t = \gamma t + \sigma W_t + \int_{(0,t] \times \{|x| < 1\}} x \widetilde{N}(ds, dx) + \int_{(0,t] \times \{|x| \ge 1\}} x \mathcal{N}(ds, dx)$$

• Define X^n by

$$X_t^n = \gamma t + \sigma W_t + \int_{(0,t] \times \{\frac{1}{n} \le |x| < 1\}} x \widetilde{N}(ds, dx) + \int_{(0,t] \times \{|x| \ge 1\}} x \mathcal{N}(ds, dx)$$

Back to the Lévy-Itô decomposition:

$$X_t = \gamma t + \sigma W_t + \int_{(0,t] \times \{|x| < 1\}} x \widetilde{N}(ds, dx) + \int_{(0,t] \times \{|x| \ge 1\}} x \mathcal{N}(ds, dx)$$

• Define X^n by

$$X_t^n = \gamma t + \sigma W_t + \int_{(0,t] \times \{\frac{1}{n} \le |x| < 1\}} x \widetilde{N}(ds, dx) + \int_{(0,t] \times \{|x| \ge 1\}} x \mathcal{N}(ds, dx)$$

• \mathcal{F}^n , \mathcal{F}^n_t all generated by X^n

Back to the Lévy-Itô decomposition:

$$X_t = \gamma t + \sigma W_t + \int_{(0,t] \times \{|x| < 1\}} x \widetilde{N}(ds, dx) + \int_{(0,t] \times \{|x| \ge 1\}} x \mathcal{N}(ds, dx)$$

• Define X^n by

$$X_t^n = \gamma t + \sigma W_t + \int_{(0,t] \times \{\frac{1}{n} \le |x| < 1\}} x \widetilde{N}(ds, dx) + \int_{(0,t] \times \{|x| \ge 1\}} x \mathcal{N}(ds, dx)$$

Fⁿ, *Fⁿ_t* all generated by Xⁿ
 (*Fⁿ*)_n becomes a filtration

Back to the Lévy-Itô decomposition:

$$X_t = \gamma t + \sigma W_t + \int_{(0,t] \times \{|x| < 1\}} x \widetilde{N}(ds, dx) + \int_{(0,t] \times \{|x| \ge 1\}} x \mathcal{N}(ds, dx)$$

• Define X^n by

$$X_t^n = \gamma t + \sigma W_t + \int_{(0,t] \times \{\frac{1}{n} \le |x| < 1\}} x \widetilde{N}(ds, dx) + \int_{(0,t] \times \{|x| \ge 1\}} x \mathcal{N}(ds, dx)$$

- \mathcal{F}^n , \mathcal{F}^n_t all generated by X^n
- $(\mathcal{F}^n)_n$ becomes a filtration
- Xⁿ has finite Lévy measure

Back to the Lévy-Itô decomposition:

$$X_t = \gamma t + \sigma W_t + \int_{(0,t] \times \{|x| < 1\}} x \widetilde{N}(ds, dx) + \int_{(0,t] \times \{|x| \ge 1\}} x \mathcal{N}(ds, dx)$$

Define Xⁿ by

$$X_t^n = \gamma t + \sigma W_t + \int_{(0,t] \times \{\frac{1}{n} \le |x| < 1\}} x \widetilde{N}(ds, dx) + \int_{(0,t] \times \{|x| \ge 1\}} x \mathcal{N}(ds, dx)$$

- \mathcal{F}^n , \mathcal{F}^n_t all generated by X^n
- $(\mathcal{F}^n)_n$ becomes a filtration
- Xⁿ has finite Lévy measure
- $\blacksquare \mathbb{E}^n = \mathbb{E}\left[\cdot |\mathcal{F}^n\right].$

Cut-off-BSDE:

$$\mathbb{E}^{n}Y_{t} = \mathbb{E}^{n}\xi + \int_{t}^{T} \mathbb{E}^{n}f(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}, U_{s})ds - \int_{t}^{T} \mathbb{E}^{n}Z_{s}dW_{s}$$
$$-\int_{]t,T]\times\{|x|<\frac{1}{n}\}} \mathbb{E}^{n}U_{s}(x)\tilde{N}(ds, dx), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

$$\blacksquare \mathbb{E}^n Y_t \to Y_t$$

Show that for *n* large enough a comparison theorem holds.

Cut-off-BSDE:

$$\mathbb{E}^{n}Y_{t} = \mathbb{E}^{n}\xi + \int_{t}^{T} \mathbb{E}^{n}f(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}, U_{s})ds - \int_{t}^{T} \mathbb{E}^{n}Z_{s}dW_{s}$$
$$-\int_{]t,T]\times\{|x|<\frac{1}{n}\}} \mathbb{E}^{n}U_{s}(x)\tilde{N}(ds, dx), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

$$\blacksquare \mathbb{E}^n Y_t \to Y_t$$

Show that for *n* large enough a comparison theorem holds.

Still there are issues...

$$\xi \to \mathbb{E}[\xi | \mathcal{F}^n]$$

$\xi \to \mathbb{E}[\xi|\mathcal{F}^n] \quad \mathsf{OK}$

$\xi \to \mathbb{E}[\xi|\mathcal{F}^n] \quad \mathsf{OK}$

 $f(t, Y_t, Z_t, U_t) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[f(t, Y_t, Z_t, U_t) | \mathcal{F}^n]$

$\xi \to \mathbb{E}[\xi|\mathcal{F}^n] \quad \mathsf{OK}$

$f(t, Y_t, Z_t, U_t) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[f(t, Y_t, Z_t, U_t) | \mathcal{F}^n]$ CAUTION

$\xi \to \mathbb{E}[\xi|\mathcal{F}^n] \quad \mathsf{OK}$

$f(t, Y_t, Z_t, U_t) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[f(t, Y_t, Z_t, U_t)|\mathcal{F}^n]$ CAUTION

$\xi \to \mathbb{E}[\xi|\mathcal{F}^n] \quad \mathsf{OK}$

$f(t, Y_t, Z_t, U_t) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[f(t, Y_t, Z_t, U_t) | \mathcal{F}^n]$ CAUTION

- \rightarrow taking optional projections $f^{n,o}$ with respect to $(\mathcal{P}^n)_n$ (progressive sets defined by X^n), is only possible for bounded or nonnegative processes.

Way out: Let *K* be a progressively measurable process with $\mathbb{E} \int_0^T |K(s)| ds < \infty$.

Way out: Let K be a progressively measurable process with $\mathbb{E} \int_0^T |K(s)| ds < \infty$.

Represent K by a functional $K(t, \omega) = F^{K}(X(\omega), t)$, up to indistinguishability.

 ${\sf F}^{\sf K}\colon\{{\sf c}{\sf a}{\sf d}{\sf l}{\sf a}{\sf g}\ {\sf functions}\}\times[0,\,T]\to\mathbb{R}$, measurable.

Way out: Let K be a progressively measurable process with $\mathbb{E} \int_0^T |K(s)| ds < \infty$.

Represent K by a functional $K(t, \omega) = F^{K}(X(\omega), t)$, up to indistinguishability. F^{K} : {càdlàg functions} $\times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, measurable.

Now, $F^{K}(X, t) = F^{K}(X^{n} + (X - X^{n}), t)$, $X^{n}, X - X^{n}$ independent

Way out: Let K be a progressively measurable process with $\mathbb{E} \int_0^T |K(s)| ds < \infty$.

Represent K by a functional $K(t, \omega) = F^{K}(X(\omega), t)$, up to indistinguishability. F^{K} : {càdlàg functions} $\times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, measurable.

Now, $F^{\kappa}(X, t) = F^{\kappa}(X^n + (X - X^n), t)$, $X^n, X - X^n$ independent

Then $\mathbb{E}F^{K}(v + X - X^{n}, t)\Big|_{v=X^{n}}$ defines a progressively measurable version of $(\mathbb{E}^{n}K(t))_{t}$

References

References:

G. Barles, R. Buckdahn, É. Pardoux, *Backward stochastic differential equations and integral-partial differential equations*, Stoch. Stoch. Rep. 60, 1-2, pp. 57-83, 1997.

T. Kruse, A. Popier, *BSDEs with monotone generator driven by Brownian and Poisson noises in a general filtration*, Stochastics 88(4), 491-539, 2016.

C. Geiss, A.St., *Existence, uniqueness and comparison results for BSDEs with Lévy jumps in an extended monotonic generator setting*, Probability, Uncertainty and Quantitative Risk 3 (9), 2018. References

S. Yao, \mathbb{L}^{p} -solutions of Backward Stochastic Differential Equations with Jumps, Stoch. Proc. Appl. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spa.2017.03.005

S. Kremsner, A.St., *L*^{*p*}-Solutions and Comparison Results for Lévy Driven BSDEs in a Monotonic, General Growth Setting, Journal of Theoretical Probability, 2020. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10959-020-01056-3