

Martingale Representations in Progressive Enlargement by Multivariate Point Processes

Barbara Torti
joint work with A. Calzolari

Università degli Studi di Roma Tor Vergata

*9-th International Colloquium on BSDEs and Mean Field Systems
June, 26th - July, 1st, 2022*

- 1 Overview
- 2 Multivariate Point Processes (MPPs)
- 3 WRP of MPPs
- 4 Propagation of WRP under enlargement by MPPs
- 5 From WRP to SRP
- 6 Looking for a basis: a sufficient condition for the orthogonality

(Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) probability space; $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ a filtration under usual conditions.

- Goal

To represent any (P, \mathbb{F}) -local martingale through stochastic integration

- Possible representations

- Strong Predictable Representation

Any (P, \mathbb{F}) -local martingale can be written as a vector stochastic integral with respect a (P, \mathbb{F}) -local martingale M .

M enjoys the (P, \mathbb{F}) -Strong Predictable Representation Property (SRP)

- Weak Predictable Representation

any (P, \mathbb{F}) -local martingale can be written as the sum of a vector stochastic integral with respect to a **continuous** (P, \mathbb{F}) -local martingale X^c and an integral with respect to a **compensated random measure** $\mu - \nu$

When X^c and μ are the continuous martingale part and the jump measure of a semi-martingale X then

X enjoys the (P, \mathbb{F}) -Weak Predictable Representation Property (WRP)

- (E, \mathcal{E}) , E Lusin space; \mathcal{E} its Borel σ -algebra;
- Δ extra point.
- $E_\Delta := E \cup \{\Delta\}$ $\tilde{E} := (0, +\infty) \times E$ $\tilde{E}_\Delta := \tilde{E} \cup \{(+\infty, \Delta)\}$
with \mathcal{E}_Δ , $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\Delta$ the Borel σ -algebra of E_Δ , \tilde{E} and \tilde{E}_Δ .
- $\tilde{\Omega} := \Omega \times (0, +\infty) \times E$ $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{F}) := \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{E}$,

Definition

A **Multivariate Point Process** (from now on **MPP**) is a infinite sequence of $(\tilde{E}_\Delta, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\Delta)$ -valued r.v.'s $\{(T_n, X_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ s.t.

- 1 for each n , T_n is a \mathbb{F} -stopping time and $T_n \leq T_{n+1}$;
- 2 for each n , X_n is \mathcal{F}_{T_n} -measurable;
- 3 if $T_n < \infty$, then $T_n < T_{n+1}$.

The **Explosion Time** of MPP $\{(T_n, X_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ is the $(0, +\infty]$ -valued r.v. T_∞ defined by

$$T_\infty := \lim_n T_n$$

....► Why does Jacod give a so abstract definition?

.... Because it allows to include in the family of MPPs also:

- Explosive jump processes ($P(T_\infty < +\infty) > 0$);
- processes with a finite number of jumps;
- processes with with jump times not necessarily finite.

Example

Occurrence process $\mathbb{1}_{[[\tau, +\infty]]}$ of a random time τ : $(T_1, X_1) = (\tau, X)$,
 $(T_n, X_n) = (+\infty, \Delta)$ for any $n \geq 2$, where $X = \mathbb{1}_{[0, +\infty)}(\tau) + \Delta \mathbb{1}_{+\infty}(\tau)$.

MPPs and Discrete Random Measures

Any MPP $\{(T_n, X_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ is completely characterized by a discrete positive random measure from (Ω, \mathcal{F}) to $(\tilde{E}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}})$ defined by

$$\mu(\omega; dt, dx) := \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{1}_{\{T_n < \infty\}}(\omega) \delta_{(T_n(\omega), X_n(\omega))}(dt, dx),$$

Proposition

There exists a positive random measure $\nu(\omega; dt, dx)$ on $(\tilde{E}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}})$ satisfying

$$\nu(\{t\} \times E) \leq 1 \quad \nu([T_\infty, \infty) \times E) = 0$$

such that for each $B \in \mathcal{E}$

- (i) $(\nu(\omega; (0, t] \times B))_{t \geq 0}$ is predictable ;
- (ii) $(\mu(\omega; (0, t \wedge T_n] \times B) - \nu(\omega; (0, t \wedge T_n] \times B))_{t \geq 0}$ is a uniformly integrable martingale null at time zero for each $n \geq 1$.

► ν is the \mathbb{F} -predictable compensator or \mathbb{F} -dual predictable projection of μ

- $\mathbb{X} := \{\mathcal{X}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$, $\mathcal{X}_t := \sigma\left(\mu((0, s] \times B) : s \leq t, B \in \mathcal{E}\right)$ *Natural Filtration*
of $\{(T_n, X_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$;
- $\mathbb{F} := \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$, $\mathcal{F}_t := \mathcal{F}_0 \vee \mathcal{X}_t$ with $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}$.

Theorem

$Z = (Z_t)_{t \geq 0}$ \mathbb{F} -adapted, càdlàg process. The following statements are equivalent

- (i) there exists $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ of \mathbb{F} -stopping times, $S_n \nearrow T_\infty$ s.t., for any $n \geq 1$, $Z_{t \wedge S_n}$ is a *U.I. martingale*;
- (ii) there exists a finite $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{F})$ -measurable function W s.t. on $\{t < T_\infty\}$

$$\int_0^t \int_E |W(s, x)| \nu(ds, dx) < \infty \quad \text{a.s.}$$

$$Z_t = Z_0 + \int_0^t \int_E W(s, x)(\mu(ds, dx) - \nu(ds, dx)) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

....beyond the formulas:

any MPP satisfies the **WRP up to T_∞** (just WRP if $T_\infty = +\infty$ a.s.) with respect to its initially enlarged natural filtration.

...and, when $T_\infty = +\infty$?

Corollary

When $P(T_\infty < +\infty) = 0$ the semimartingale $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ defined by

$$X_t := \sum_{n \geq 1} X_n \mathbb{1}_{\{T_n \leq t\}}$$

satisfies the \mathbb{F} -WRP.

Put together d MPPs: The Merging Process

- $\{(T_n^i, X_n^i)\}_{n \geq 1}$ MPP in $(\tilde{E}_\Delta, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\Delta)$, E^i a Lusin space, $i = 1, \dots, d$;
- $\mathcal{F}_t^i = \mathcal{F}_0^i \vee \mathcal{X}_t^i$, $\mathcal{F}_0^i \subset \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathbb{X}^i = (\mathcal{X}_t^i)_{t \geq 0}$ the natural filtration of $\{(T_n^i, X_n^i)\}_{n \geq 1}$ $i = 1, \dots, d$;
- $E := E_0^1 \times E_0^2 \dots \times E_0^d$, with $E_0^i := E^i \cup \{0\}$;
- $\mathbb{G} := \{\mathcal{G}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ with

$$\mathcal{G}_t := \bigcap_{s > t} \bigvee_{i=1}^d \mathcal{F}_s^i.$$

- A natural candidate for the \mathbb{G} -WRP: the Merging Process

The d -dimensional MPP $\{(T_n, V_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ taking values in $(\tilde{E}_\Delta, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\Delta)$ with explosion time $T_\infty = \min(T_\infty^1, \dots, T_\infty^d)$, where

- the sequence of its jump times is obtained rearranging pointwise in nondecreasing way the set $\{T_n^i, n \geq 1, i = 1, \dots, d\}$;
- the mark at any jump time is the vector whose i -th component coincides with X_k^i on the set $T_n = T_k^i$, $i = 1, \dots, d$.

$$T_1(\omega) := \begin{cases} \inf\{T_1^i(\omega) : T_1^i(\omega) < +\infty, \quad i = 1, \dots, d\} & \text{if } \{\dots\} \neq \emptyset \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

\vdots

$$T_n(\omega) := \begin{cases} \inf\{T_k^i(\omega) : T_{n-1}(\omega) < T_k^i(\omega) < +\infty, \quad i = 1, \dots, d, \quad k \geq 1\} & \text{if } \{\dots\} \neq \emptyset \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

\vdots

$$V_n := \begin{cases} (V_n^1, \dots, V_n^d) & \text{if } T_n < +\infty \\ \Delta & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$V_n^i(\omega) := \begin{cases} X_k^i(\omega) & \text{if there exists } k \geq 1 \text{ such that } T_k^i(\omega) = T_n(\omega); \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$\{V_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ takes values in $E \cup \{\Delta\}$ and

$$V_n^i = \sum_{k \geq 1} X_k^i \mathbb{1}_{\{T_k^i = T_n\}} \mathbb{1}_{\{T_n < +\infty\}} + \Delta \mathbb{1}_{\{T_n = +\infty\}}.$$

WRP of the Merging Process

Remark

If $V_n = x$, with $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in E_0^1 \times \dots \times E_0^d$, then

- x cannot be $\{0, \dots, 0\}$;
- if $x_i = 0$ for some (but not all!) $i = 1, \dots, d$ then the corresponding i -th MPPs don't jump at T_n ;
- if $x_i \neq 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$, then all the MPPs are jumping together.

- $\mathbb{X} = (\mathcal{X}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ the natural filtration of $\{(T_n, V_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$;
- $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ the right-continuous filtration defined by $\mathcal{F}_t := \mathcal{F}_0 \vee \mathcal{X}_t$, where $\mathcal{F}_0 := \bigvee_{i=1}^d \mathcal{F}_0^i$.

Theorem

The $\{(T_n, V_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ satisfies the \mathbb{F} -WRP up to $T_\infty := \min(T_\infty^1, \dots, T_\infty^d)$.

In the frame of Progressive Enlargement

- $\{(T_n^i, X_n^i)\}_{n \geq 1}$, $\mathbb{F}^i = \mathcal{F}_0^i \vee \mathbb{X}^i$, $i = 1, \dots, d$,
 $\mathcal{F}_0^i \subset \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathbb{X}^i = (\mathcal{X}_t^i)_{t \geq 0}$ the natural filtration of the i -th MPP;
- $\mathbb{G} := \mathbb{F}^1 \vee \dots \vee \mathbb{F}^d$

Remark

\mathbb{F} does not coincide in general with \mathbb{G} .

If $T_\infty < +\infty$ then \mathbb{F} doesn't contain the natural filtration of any MPP of the family either non explosive or with explosion time greater than T_∞ .

Assumption A1

The explosion time T_∞^i is infinite a.s., for any $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$.

Lemma

Assume A1. Then $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{G}$.

Theorem

Assume A1. Then the MPP $\{(T_n, V_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ satisfies the \mathbb{G} -WRP.

► Summarizing

If $P(T_\infty < +\infty) = 0$ then

- $\mathbb{G} := \mathbb{F}^1 \vee \dots \vee \mathbb{F}^d$ is the progressive enlargement of \mathbb{F}^1 by $\mathbb{F}^2 \vee \dots \vee \mathbb{F}^d$;
- $\mathbb{F}^2 \vee \dots \vee \mathbb{F}^d$ coincides with the natural filtration of the merging of $\{(T_n^2, X_n^2)\}_{n \geq 1}, \dots, \{(T_n^d, X_n^d)\}_{n \geq 1}$ initially enlarged by $\mathcal{F}_0^2 \vee \dots \vee \mathcal{F}_0^d$;
- the stability of the WRP of $\{(T_n^1, X_n^1)\}_{n \geq 1}$ under progressive enlargement by $\{(T_n^2, X_n^2)\}_{n \geq 1}, \dots, \{(T_n^d, X_n^d)\}_{n \geq 1}$ holds.

- $\{(T_n^i, X_n^i)\}_{n \geq 1}$ MPP in $(\tilde{E}_\Delta^i, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\Delta^i)$, E^i a Lusin space, $i = 1, \dots, d$;
- $T_\infty = \min(T_\infty^1, \dots, T_\infty^d)$ where $T_\infty^i = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} T_n^i$, $i = 1, \dots, d$;
- $E := E_0^1 \times E_0^2 \dots \times E_0^d$, with $E_0^i := E^i \cup \{0\}$;

Assumption A2

E^i is *discrete*, $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$.



The space $E \setminus \{0, \dots, 0\}$ is countable: $E \setminus \{0, \dots, 0\} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$.

- Assume A1. Then T_∞ is infinite and $\{(T_n, V_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ enjoys the \mathbb{G} -WRP: for any \mathbb{G} -local martingale Z

$$Z_t = Z_0 + \int_0^t \int_E W(s, x) (\mu(ds, dx) - \nu(ds, dx)), \quad a.s.$$

where μ is the random measure associated to (T_n, V_n) and ν its \mathbb{G} -dual predictable projection.

- Assume A1, A2. Then

$$\begin{aligned} Z_t &= Z_0 + \int_0^t \int_{E \setminus \{0, \dots, 0\}} \sum_{h \geq 1} W(s, x_h) \mathbb{1}_{\{x=x_h\}} (\mu(ds, dx) - \nu(ds, dx)) = \\ &= Z_0 + \sum_{h \geq 1} \int_0^t W(s, x_h) (\mu(ds, \{x_h\}) - \nu(ds, \{x_h\})), \quad a.s. \end{aligned}$$

$$M_t^h := \mu((0, t], \{x_h\}) - \nu((0, t], \{x_h\}), \quad h \geq 1$$

$$W_t(x_h) := W(t, x_h).$$

- For any $h \geq 1$ the process $(M_t^h)_{t \geq 0}$ is a \mathbb{G} -local martingale null at 0;
- for any $h \geq 1$ the process $(W_t(x_h))_{t \geq 0}$ is a \mathbb{G} -predictable process;
- $Z_t = Z_0 + \sum_{h \geq 1} \int_0^t W_s(x_h) dM_s^h, \quad a.s.$

Theorem

Set $M := (M^1, \dots, M^h, \dots)$. Then M enjoys the \mathbb{G} -SRP.

A sufficient condition for the orthogonality

Lemma

Let X be a \mathbb{G} -adapted pure jump process of locally integrable variation and let X^P be its \mathbb{G} -dual predictable projection. Then, for any fixed stopping time S , $\Delta X_S = 0$, a.s., implies $\Delta X_S^P = 0$, a.s.

Let X and Y be two general \mathbb{G} -adapted locally integrable pure jump processes.

Assumption A3: Mutual Avoiding Predictable Jump Times

$P(\Delta X_\sigma \neq 0) > 0$ implies $\Delta Y_\sigma = 0$, a.s., for any finite \mathbb{G} -predictable stopping time σ .

Proposition

Let X and Y be \mathbb{G} -adapted pure jump processes of locally integrable variation which verify A3. Then for any finite \mathbb{G} -predictable stopping time σ

$$\Delta X_\sigma^P \Delta Y_\sigma^P = \Delta X_\sigma \Delta Y_\sigma^P = \Delta X_\sigma^P \Delta Y_\sigma = 0, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

$$M^h = N^h - N^{h,p}, \quad h \geq 1,$$

where

- $N_t^h := \mu((0, t], \{x_h\})$, $h \geq 1$;
- $N^{h,p}$ the \mathbb{G} -dual predictable projection of N^h , $h \geq 1$.

Theorem

Let Assumption A3 be in force for all pair N^h, N^k with $h \neq k$. Then M is a \mathbb{G} -basis.

Proof.

- \mathbb{G} is strongly represented by M ;
- $[M^h, M^k] = [N^h, N^k] - [N^h, N^{k,p}] - [N^{h,p}, N^k] + [N^{h,p}, N^{k,p}]$;
- $N^h, N^{h,p}$, $h \geq 1$ are bounded variation processes then the quadratic covariations coincide with the sum of common jumps;
- N^h and N^k with $h \neq k$ do not jump together and A3 yields $[M^h, M^k] = 0$.



P. DI TELLA, M. JEANBLANC (2021) Martingale representation in the enlargement of the filtration generated by a point process. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 131: 103–121.



J.JACOD (1975) Multivariate point processes: predictable projection, radon-nikodim derivatives, representations of martingales. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeit*, 31(3): 235–253.



A. CALZOLARI, B. TORTI (2022) Martingale representations in progressive enlargement by multivariate point processes. *IJTAf*, To appear.

*Martingale
Representations in
Progressive
Enlargement by
Multivariate Point
Processes*

*Barbara
Torti*

Overview

*Multivariate
Point
Processes
(MPPs)*

*WRP of
MPPs*

*Propagation
of WRP
under en-
largement
by MPPs*

*From
WRP to
SRP*

*Looking
for a
basis: a
sufficient
condition*

Thank you!